

Verdier quotient notes

Alexis Guérin

We use cohomological conventions:

$$C : \dots \rightarrow C^{i-1} \rightarrow C^i \rightarrow C^{i+1} \rightarrow \dots$$

1 Basic notions ([Wei94])

In this section following notions are detailed:

- cone (1.1)
- cylinder (1.3)
- quasi-isomorphisms (1.5)
- split short exact sequence (1.7)
- split (complex) (1.10)
- (co)chain homotopy (1.11)
- null-homotopic (1.12)
- (co)chain homotopy equivalence (1.14)

Definition 1.1. Let $f : B \rightarrow C$ be a map of cochain complexes. The *mapping cone* of f is the cochain complex $\text{Cone}(f)$ whose degree n part is $B^{n+1} \oplus C^n$ and the differentials $d(b, c) = (-d(b), d(c) - f(b))$

Proposition 1.2. We have the following short exact sequence of complexes:

$$0 \rightarrow C \xrightarrow{v} \text{Cone}(f) \xrightarrow{\delta} B[1] \rightarrow 0.$$

where $v : c \mapsto (0, c)$ and $\delta : (b, c) \mapsto -b$.

Definition 1.3. Let $f : B \rightarrow C$ be a map of cochain complexes. The *mapping cylinder* $\text{cyl}(f)$ is the cochain complex whose degree n part is $B_n \oplus B_{n+1} \oplus C_n$ and the differential is $d(b, b', c) = (d(b) + b', -d(b'), d(c) - f(b'))$.

Proposition 1.4. $\text{cyl}(f) = \text{Cone}(g)$ where $g : \text{Cone}(f)[-1] \rightarrow C$.

Definition 1.5. A morphism $B \rightarrow D$ of cochain of complexes is called a *quasi-isomorphism* if the maps $H_n(B) \rightarrow H_n(C)$ are all isomorphisms.

Proposition 1.6. If the cone of a map is acyclic ($H_n = 0$ for all n) then the map is a quasi-isomorphism.

Definition 1.7. A short exact sequence in \mathcal{A} (an abelian category) $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{i} B \xrightarrow{p} C \rightarrow 0$ is called *split* if one of this condition hold :

- (1) There exists a section of p , hence a morphism $s : C \rightarrow B$ such that $p \circ s = \text{Id}_C$.
- (2) There exists a retract of i , hence a morphism $r : B \rightarrow A$ such that $r \circ i = \text{Id}_A$.
- (3) There exists an isomorphism of sequences with the sequence :

$$0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{i} A \oplus C \xrightarrow{p} C \rightarrow 0$$

given by the direct sum and its canonical injection/projection morphisms.

Proposition 1.8. Let,

$$0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{i} B \xrightarrow{p} C \rightarrow 0$$

be a short exact sequence of complex. This ses of complex split if and only if it exist $\delta : C[-1] \rightarrow A$ st $B \simeq \text{Cone}(\delta)$ and the short exact sequence is isomorphic to the usual ses:

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow \text{Cone}(\delta) \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$$

with the usual arrow. (remark that $C[-1][1] = C$)

Proof : You just need to define $\delta := d^A \circ r \circ s$, where r and s are the splitting maps that are coming from the splitting lemma. The other implication is trivial. □

Lemma 1.9. (splitting lemma) The three condition of the last definition are equivalent.

Definition 1.10. A complex C is called *split* if there are maps $C_n \rightarrow C_{n-1}$ st $d = dsd$. The maps s_n are called the splitting maps. If in addition C is acyclic, we say that C is split exact.

\mathcal{A} an abelian category.

Definition 1.11. We say that two cochain maps f and g from C to D are *cochain homotopic* if it exists maps $s_n : C^{n+1} \rightarrow D^n$ st:

$$f - g = sd + ds.$$

Definition 1.12. We say that a cochain map $f : C \rightarrow D$ is *null-homotopic* if f is homotopic to 0 (isomorphic to 0 in $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$).

C is said *null-homotopic* if the zero map $0 : C \rightarrow 0$ is an isomorphism in $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$.

Remark 1.13. It's an equivalence relation (Ex 1.4.5 Weibel) noted \sim_{htp}

Definition 1.14. $f : C \rightarrow D$ is a *cochain homotopy equivalence* (isomorphism in $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$) if it exist $g : D \rightarrow C$ st $fg \sim_{htp} \text{Id}_D$ and $gf \sim_{htp} \text{Id}_C$

Lemma 1.15. If f and g are cochain homotopic, then they induce the same map $H_n(C) \rightarrow H_n(D)$.

2 Derivated category ([Wei94])

\mathcal{A} an abelian category.

2.1 The homotopy category $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$

\mathcal{A} an abelian category.

Definition 2.1. We have the following categories:

<p>Ch(\mathcal{A}):</p> <p>Objects : complexes</p> <p>Morphisms : complexes morphisms</p> <p>Abelian category</p>		<p>C(\mathcal{A}):</p> <p>Objects : complexes</p> <p>Morphisms : $\text{Hom}_{\text{Ch}(\mathcal{A})}(C, D) / \sim_{htp}$</p> <p>Additive category</p>
---	--	---

Proposition 2.2. Let $F : \text{Ch}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ a functor that sends cochain homotopy equivalences to isomorphisms. Then F factors uniquely through $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Ch}(\mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{D} \\
 \downarrow & \nearrow \exists! & \\
 \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A}) & &
 \end{array} \tag{1}$$

Definition 2.3. Let $f : C \rightarrow D$ a morphism in $\mathbf{Ch}(\mathcal{A})$. The *strict triangle* on f is the triple (f, v, δ) in $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$ of the def of $\text{Cone}(f)$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \text{Cone}(f) & \\ \delta \swarrow & & \nwarrow v \\ A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \end{array} \quad (2)$$

Now consider $A \xrightarrow{u} B \xrightarrow{v} C \xrightarrow{w} A[1]$ in $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$. We say that (u, v, w) is an *exact triangle* on (A, B, C) if it is "isomorphic" to a strict triangle in the sence that:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A & \xrightarrow{u} & B & \xrightarrow{v} & C & \xrightarrow{w} & A[1] \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow g & & \downarrow h & & \downarrow f[1] \\ A' & \xrightarrow{u'} & B' & \xrightarrow{v'} & \text{Cone}(u') & \xrightarrow{\delta} & A'[1] \end{array} \quad (3)$$

commuting in $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$ and f, g and h are isomorphisms in $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$.

It's usually written as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & C & \\ w \swarrow & & \nwarrow v \\ A & \xrightarrow{u} & B \end{array} \quad (4)$$

Remark 2.4. Exact triangle of $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$ are the short exact sequences that split (1.8).

2.2 Triangulated categories

Definition 2.5. Let \mathcal{C} be a category equipped with an automorphism T (functor from \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{C}). A *triangle* on an ordered triple (A, B, C) of objects of \mathcal{C} is a triple (u, v, w) of morphisms, where $u : A \rightarrow B, v : B \rightarrow C$ and $w : C \rightarrow T(A)$

A *morphism of triangle* is a triple (f, g, h) forming a commutative diagram in \mathcal{C} :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A & \xrightarrow{u} & B & \xrightarrow{v} & C & \xrightarrow{w} & T(A) \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow g & & \downarrow h & & \downarrow T(f) \\ A' & \xrightarrow{u'} & B' & \xrightarrow{v'} & C' & \xrightarrow{w'} & T(A') \end{array} \quad (5)$$

Definition 2.6. An additive category \mathcal{C} is called a *triangulated category* if it is equipped with an automorphism $T : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ (translation functor) and a family of triangles (u, v, w) (called the *exact triangles* or *distinguished triangles* in \mathcal{C}), which are subject to the following four axioms:

- (1) $\forall u : A \rightarrow B$ can be embedded in an exact triangle (u, v, w) . If $A = B$ and $C = 0$, then the triangle $(\text{Id}_A, 0, 0)$ is exact. If (u, v, w) is a triangle on (A, B, C) , isomorphic to an exact triangle (u', v', w') on (A', B', C') , then (u, v, w) is also exact.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A & \xrightarrow{u} & B & \xrightarrow{v} & C & \xrightarrow{w} & T(A) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ A' & \xrightarrow{u'} & B' & \xrightarrow{v'} & C' & \xrightarrow{w'} & T(A') \end{array} \quad (6)$$

- (2) (Rotation) If (u, v, w) as an exact triangle on (A, B, C) , then both its "rotates" $(v, w, -Tu)$ and $(-T^{-1}(w), u, v)$ are exacts triangles for correspondent triplet.

- (3) (Morphisms) Given two exact triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \begin{array}{ccc} & C & \\ w \swarrow & & \nwarrow v \\ A & \xrightarrow{u} & B \end{array} & & \begin{array}{ccc} & C' & \\ w' \swarrow & & \nwarrow v' \\ A' & \xrightarrow{u'} & B' \end{array} \end{array} \quad (7)$$

with morphisms $f : A \rightarrow A', g : B \rightarrow B'$ st $gu = u'f$, there exists a morphism $h : C \rightarrow C'$ so that (f, g, h) is a morphism of triangle.

(4) (The octahedral axiom) Given A, B, C, A', B', C' and (u, j, δ) on (A, B, C') , (v, x, i) on (B, C, A') and (vu, y, δ) on (A, C, B') three exact triangles. Then there is a fourth exact triangles:

comming soon (8)

Example 2.7.

- $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$ is a triangulated category with exact triangles (of 2.3) and $T = [1]$.
- The p -homotopy category: $\mathcal{C}(A, \partial_0)$ (Category of p -chain complexes of A quotiented by the ideal of p -null homotopic morphisms) is a triangulated category with $T(M) = M \otimes_{\mathbb{k}[\partial_0]/\partial_0^{p-2}} \{p-1\}$ (see [QS22] p5)

Remark 2.8. If (u, v, w) is an exact triangle, then $v \circ u = w \circ v = Tu \circ w = 0$. (Ex 10.2.1 [Wei94]).

Remark 2.9. A short exact sequence $A \xrightarrow{u} B \xrightarrow{v} C$ in $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$ gives not necessarily an exact triangle. Indeed, the map $w : C \rightarrow A[1]$ making (u, v, w) an exact triangle, may not be. The cohomological long exact sequence that arise from this short exact sequence can be obtained thanks the following exact triangle:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \text{Cone}(u) & \\ & \swarrow \quad \searrow & \\ A & \longrightarrow & \text{cyl}(u) \end{array} \quad (9)$$

and the quasi-isomorphisms $\beta : \begin{array}{c} \text{cyl}(u) \longrightarrow B \\ (a, a', b) \longmapsto u(a) + b \end{array}$ and $\phi : \begin{array}{c} \text{Cone}(u) \longrightarrow C \\ (a, b) \longmapsto v(b) \end{array}$.

Definition 2.10. Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} be two triangulated cat. $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is *triangulated functor* if it's an additive functor that commutes with the translation functor T and T' , and sends exact triangles on exact triangles.

Definition 2.11. Let \mathcal{D} a triangulated category. A *full additive subcategory* \mathcal{C} in \mathcal{D} is called a triangulated subcategory if every object isomorphic to an object of \mathcal{C} is in \mathcal{C} and the inclusion $\mathcal{C} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a triangulated functor. We assume further that

$$\phi_X : 1(T(X)) \rightarrow T(1(X))$$

is the identity on $T(X)$.

Definition 2.12. Let \mathcal{C} be a triangulated category and \mathcal{A} an abelian cat. An additive functor $H : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is called a *cohomological functor* if whenever (u, v, w) is an exact triangle on (A, B, C) the long sequence:

$$\dots \xrightarrow{w^*} H(T^i A) \xrightarrow{u^*} H(T^i B) \xrightarrow{v^*} H(T^i C) \xrightarrow{w^*} H(T^{i+1} A) \xrightarrow{u^*} \dots \quad (10)$$

is exact in \mathcal{A} .

Example 2.13.

- $H^0 : \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ where \mathcal{A} is an abelian cat.
- $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\cdot, A) : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$ where \mathcal{C} is a triangulated cat.

2.3 The derived category

Definition 2.14. Let S be a collection of morphisms in a category \mathcal{C} . A *localization of \mathcal{C} with respect to S* is a category $S^{-1}\mathcal{C}$, together with a functor $q : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow S^{-1}\mathcal{C}$ st:

- (1) $q(s)$ is an isomorphism in $S^{-1}\mathcal{C}$ for every s .
- (2) Any functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ st $F(s)$ is an isomorphism for all $s \in S$ factor in a unique way through q . (It follow that $S^{-1}\mathcal{C}$ is unique up to equivalence.)

Example 2.15.

- A a ring. It can be seen as a category with one object and its morphisms are $\text{End}(A)$. Then localize A as a category is the same thing as localize A as a ring.
- $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A}) = S^{-1}\mathbf{Ch}(\mathcal{A})$ where S is the collection of chain homotopy equivalence.

Definition 2.16. Let \tilde{Q} be the collection of all quasi-isomorphisms in $\mathbf{Ch}(\mathcal{A})$ and Q the collection of quasi-isomorphisms in $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$.

$$\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A}) := \tilde{Q}^{-1}\mathbf{Ch}(\mathcal{A}) = Q^{-1}\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A}).$$

To prove the existence of the derived category in our context (\mathcal{A} is a category of module) we need to introduce the notion of *multiplicative system* and *system that arises from a cohomological functor*. Thanks to this notions we can use the Gabriel-Zisman theorem (th 10.3.7 of [Wei94]). Moreover, in our context, we can prove that $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$ is a triangulated category (prop 10.4.1 [Wei94]).

3 Relative homotopy category

3.1 Verdier quotient ([Nee14])

Definition 3.1. Let $F : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ be a triangulated functor. The Kernel of F is defined to be the full subcategory \mathcal{C} of \mathcal{D} whose objects map to objects of \mathcal{T} isomorphic to 0. In other words:

$$\mathcal{C} = \{x \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{D}) \mid F(x) \text{ is isomorphic to } 0\}.$$

Proposition 3.2. The Kernel of F is a triangulated subcategory of \mathcal{D} .

Theorem 3.3. Let \mathcal{D} be a triangulated category, $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{D}$ a triangulated subcategory. Then there is a universal functor $F : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ with $\mathcal{C} \subset \text{Ker}(F)$. In other words, there exists a triangulated category \mathcal{D}/\mathcal{C} so that \mathcal{C} is in the Kernel of F_{univ} , and F_{univ} is universal with this property. If $F : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ is a triangulated functor whose Kernel contains \mathcal{C} , then it factors uniquely as:

$$\mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{F_{\text{univ}}} \mathcal{D}/\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}.$$

Remark 3.4. Description of \mathcal{D}/\mathcal{C} :

Objects: $\text{Obj}(\mathcal{D})$ (F_{univ} is the identity on objects).

Morphism: For a triangulated subcategory $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{D}$, we define a $\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}} \subset \mathcal{D}$. A morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ lies in $\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}$ if and only if in the triangle:

$$X \xrightarrow{f} Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow T(X)$$

the object Z lies in \mathcal{C} (detail in section 1.5 of [Nee14]). Note that it is irrelevant which particular triangle we take because Z is unique up to isomorphism (rmk 1.1.21 [Nee14]). For two objects X, Y in \mathcal{D} note $\alpha(X, Y)$ the class of diagrams of the form:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & Z & \\ f \swarrow & & \searrow g \\ X & & Y \end{array} \quad (11)$$

st f belongs to $\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}$. Consider a relation $R(X, Y)$ on $\alpha(X, Y)$ st $[(Z, f, g), (Z', f', g')]$ belongs to $R(X, Y)$ if and only if there is an element (Z'', f'', g'') in $\alpha(X, Y)$ and morphisms:

$$u : Z'' \rightarrow Z, v : Z'' \rightarrow Z'$$

which make the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & Z' & & \\ & f' \swarrow & \uparrow v & \searrow g' & \\ X & \xleftarrow{f''} & Z'' & \xrightarrow{g''} & Y \\ & \nwarrow f & \downarrow u & \nearrow g & \\ & & Z & & \end{array} \quad (12)$$

commute (With the previous definition, we can prove that u and v are in $Mor_{\mathcal{C}}$). Then $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$ is the class of equivalence in $\alpha(X, Y)$ with respect to $R(X, Y)$. A morphism in \mathcal{D} , $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is sent to

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ 1 \downarrow & & \\ X & & \end{array} \quad (13)$$

Remark 3.5. Take $f : X \rightarrow Y$ st $f \in Mor_{\mathcal{C}}$. Then a triangulated functor F takes an object Z to 0 if and only if it takes the distinguished triangle

$$X \xrightarrow{f} Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow T(X)$$

to a triangle isomorphic to the image of

$$X \xrightarrow{1} X \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow T(X)$$

In other words, $F(f)$ must be an isomorphism. Therefore, in the category \mathcal{D}/\mathcal{C} all the morphisms in $Mor_{\mathcal{C}}$ will become invertible.

Proposition 3.6. The functor $F_{univ} : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}/\mathcal{C}$ is universal for all functor $F : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ which take all morphisms in $Mor_{\mathcal{C}}$ to invertible morphisms.

Remark 3.7. $\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{C} \cong (Mor_{\mathcal{C}})^{-1}\mathcal{D}$?

Remark 3.8. A distinguished triangle on \mathcal{D}/\mathcal{C} is a triangle isomorphic to:

$$F_{univ}(X) \rightarrow F_{univ}(Y) \rightarrow F_{univ}(Z) \rightarrow TF_{univ}(X)$$

where $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow TX$ is a distinguished triangle in \mathcal{D} .

3.2 Definition of the RHC

Let H be a Hopf algebra

Definition 3.9. An algebra in \mathcal{C} , a monoidal category, is a triple (A, m_A, η_A) where $A \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{C})$, $m_A : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ (multiplication) and $\eta : 1_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow A$ (unit) st: m_A is associative and η_A is the unit for m_A . [BCPO19]

Definition 3.10. A is a H -module algebra if A is an algebra object in the module category of the Hopf algebra H . In particular (with the Sweedler's notation: $\Delta(h) = \sum_h h_1 \otimes h_2$):

$$h \cdot (ab) = \sum_h (h_1 \cdot a)(h_2 \cdot b) \quad \text{and} \quad h \cdot 1_A = \varepsilon(h)1_A.$$

Definition 3.11. The smash product algebra $A\#H$ as an abelian group is isomorphic to $A \otimes H$ and the multiplicative structure is determined by:

$$(a \otimes h)(b \otimes k) = \sum_h a(h_1 \cdot b) \otimes h_2 k,$$

Remark 3.12. There is the forgetful functor between the usual homotopy categories of chain complexes of graded modules:

$$For : \mathcal{C}(A\#H) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(A).$$

According to (3.1), an object C . of $\mathcal{C}(A\#H)$ is annihilated by the forgetful functor if and only if, when forgetting the H -module structure on each term of C ., the complex is null-homotpic (isomorphic to 0 in $\mathcal{C}(A)$).

Definition 3.13. An object in $\text{Ker}(For)$ (described above) is said *relatively null-homotopic*.

Definition 3.14. Given an H -module algebra A , the *relative homotopy category* is the Verdier quotient:

$$\mathcal{C}^H(A) := \frac{\mathcal{C}(A\#H)}{\text{Ker}(For)}.$$

Remark 3.15. For reasons that are detailed in [QRSW23], if A is a field \mathbb{k} , then $\mathcal{C}^H(\mathbb{k}) = \mathcal{D}(H)$.

Thanks to the universal property of the Verdier quotient, we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}(A\#H) & \xrightarrow{For} & \mathcal{C}(A) \\ & \searrow \mathcal{F} & \nearrow \\ & \mathcal{C}^H(A) & \end{array} \quad (14)$$

3.3 Triangulated structure on the RHC

Proposition 3.16. A triangle is distinguished in $\mathcal{C}^H(A)$ if and only if it is isomorphic to

$$\mathcal{F}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(N) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(M)[1]$$

where $M \rightarrow N \rightarrow L$ is a short exact sequence in $\mathcal{C}(A\#H)$ termwise A -split exact.

Proof: We begin by the first implication, a distinguished triangle in $\mathcal{C}^H(A)$ is a triangle isomorphic to

$$\mathcal{F}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(N) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(M)[1]$$

where $M \rightarrow N \rightarrow L \rightarrow M[1]$ is a distinguished triangle in $\mathcal{C}(A\#H)$. So $M \rightarrow N \rightarrow L$ is a short exact sequence of complexes that is termwise $A\#H$ -split exact, especially it is termwise A -split exact. The first implication proved let us proved the converse.

Let $M \rightarrow N \rightarrow L$ be a short exact sequence of complexes in $\mathcal{C}(A\#H)$ that is termwise A -split exact. In the same category we have the distinguished triangle $M \rightarrow N \rightarrow \text{Cone}(f) \rightarrow M[1]$ that gives rise to a distinguished triangle in $\mathcal{C}^H(A)$. So prove that $\text{Cone}(f)$ and L are isomorphic in $\mathcal{C}^H(A)$ will show that our short exact sequence gives rise to a distinguished triangle in the relative homotopy category. So let us define

$$h : \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Cone}(f) & \longrightarrow & L \\ (m, n) & \longmapsto & g(n) \end{array} ,$$

h is clearly surjective and $\text{Ker}(h) = M[1] \oplus \text{Ker}(g) = M[1] \oplus \text{Im}(f)$. Thanks to the following diagram, we can assume that $\text{Ker}(h) \simeq \text{Cone}(\text{Id}_M)$ in $\mathcal{C}(A\#H)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_n \oplus \text{Im}(f)_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} d^M & 0 \\ f & d^N \end{pmatrix}} & M_{n+1} \oplus \text{Im}(f)_n \\ \uparrow \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id}_M & 0 \\ 0 & f \end{pmatrix} & & \uparrow \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id}_M & 0 \\ 0 & f^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \\ M_n \oplus M_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} d^M & 0 \\ \text{Id} & d^N \end{pmatrix}} & M_{n+1} \oplus M_n \end{array} \quad (15)$$

So we have the following short exact sequence $\text{Cone}(\text{Id}_M) \rightarrow \text{Cone}(h) \xrightarrow{h} L$. Now under For we have:

$$For(\text{Cone}(f)) \simeq For(M[1]) \oplus For(N) \simeq For(M[1]) \oplus For(M) \oplus For(L) \simeq For(\text{Cone}(\text{Id}_M)) \oplus For(L)$$

where the second isomorphism is gave by the fact that the original short exact sequence is termwise A -split. Thus we have the following distinguished triangle in $\mathcal{C}(A)$:

$$0 \simeq For(\text{Cone}(\text{Id}_M)) \rightarrow For(\text{Cone}(f)) \xrightarrow{For(h)} For(L) \rightarrow For(\text{Cone}(\text{Id}_M)[1]) \simeq 0.$$

so $For(h)$ is an isomorphism.

Let $\text{Cone}(f) \xrightarrow{h} L \rightarrow Z \rightarrow \text{Cone}(f)[1]$ be a distinguished triangle in $\mathcal{CA}\#H$, then $For(\text{Cone}(f) \xrightarrow{h} L \rightarrow Z \rightarrow \text{Cone}(f)[1])$ is a distinguished triangle in $\mathcal{C}(A)$ (because For is a triangulated functor) and because $For(h)$ is an isomorphism then $For(Z) \simeq 0$. In other words $Z \in \text{Ker}(For)$, thus $h \in \text{Mor}_{\text{Ker}(For)}$ and $\mathcal{F}(h)$ is an isomorphism in $\mathcal{C}^H(A)$. That conclude the proof.

□

References

- [BCPO19] D. Bulacu, Stefaan Caenepeel, Florin Panaite, and Freddy Oystaeyen. *Quasi-Hopf Algebras: A Categorical Approach*. Cambridge, 02 2019. doi:10.1017/9781108582780.
- [Nee14] A. Neeman. *Triangulated Categories*. Annals of Mathematics Studies. Princeton University Press, 2014. URL: <https://books.google.fr/books?id=VvkcBAAAQBAJ>.
- [QRSW23] You Qi, Louis-Hadrien Robert, Joshua Sussan, and Emmanuel Wagner. Symmetries of equivariant khovanov-rozansky homology, 2023. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.10729>, arXiv:2306.10729.
- [QS22] You Qi and Joshua Sussan. On some p -differential graded link homologies. *Forum of Mathematics, Pi*, 10:e26, 2022. arXiv:2009.06498 [math]. URL: <http://arxiv.org/abs/2009.06498>, doi:10.1017/fmp.2022.19.
- [Wei94] Charles A. Weibel. *An Introduction to Homological Algebra*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 1994.